

# Review of quantum path integrals in fluctuating markets

Frédéric D.R. Bonnet<sup>a,b</sup>, Andrew Allison<sup>a,b</sup> and Derek Abbott<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Adelaide, S.A. 5005, Australia.

<sup>b</sup>Centre for Biomedical Engineering, The University of Adelaide, S.A. 5005, Australia.

## ABSTRACT

We review various techniques from engineering and physics applied to the theory of financial risks. We also explore at an introductory level how the quantum aspects of physics may be used to study the dynamics of financial markets. In particular we explore how the path integral methods may be used to study financial markets quantitatively.

**Keywords:** Stock market, path integrals, econoengineering, financial risks, Black–Scholes equation, quantum field theory, stochastic signal processes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Economics and the idea of trading goods can be found to have roots as far as the ancient times at the cradle of all human civilization. This concept and the resulting methods have evolved into a complicated system that involves billions of simultaneous transactions in the world. Presently all transactions are computerized— this revolution began in the 1980's when electronic trading, already a part of the environment of the major stock exchange, was adapted to the foreign exchange market. As a result we have today a huge amount of electronically stored financial data ready to be analyzed. The average time delay between two records can be as short as a few seconds. Therefore one could use this data to study short or long term effects.

Financial systems are well known problems to economists and mathematicians who usually try to draw models to help them predict parameters or trends in financial markets. However, in the recent years more engineers and physicists are becoming involved in the analysis of economic systems. The standard approach used by physicists is to apply techniques used in statistical mechanics to get some understanding of the dynamics of the financial markets. For example attempts have been made to study the dynamics using a maximal entropy approach,<sup>1</sup> or using a modified Ising model to study stochastic resonance and model financial crashes.<sup>2</sup> Another approach can be carried out via the Black & Scholes option pricing model, in that case one would assume that the system follows a geometric Brownian motion for some underlying asset, which is described by an Ito stochastic differential equation (SDE). Further reading on SDEs may be found in the following references.<sup>3–5</sup>

One promising approach involves the use of path integrals. Path integrals were first introduced by Wiener and Kac<sup>6–8</sup> and then further developed by Feynman (1948)<sup>9</sup> to perform calculations in quantum electrodynamics (QED). The method has now become a very important tool as well as representing a very powerful tool for elementary particle physicists. Engineers do use path integrals, for example, when studying radio frequency propagation.<sup>10</sup> Applications of path integral techniques<sup>11</sup> to financial markets have also recently started to appear in the literature, see for example Refs.<sup>12–14</sup> and the references therein. The advantage of path integrals when calculating an observable is that it takes into consideration the fluctuations associated with it and gives an alternative approach to the Black–Scholes equation.

When one is working with a path integral, one usually works in some complex space like a Hilbert space for example. Usually the states of the system are described by vectors in that space and the observables are represented by various Hermitian operators acting on that space. So if we know the state of the system at a

---

Further author information: (Send correspondence to F.D.R. Bonnet.)

F.D.R. Bonnet.: E-mail: fbonnet@eleceng.adelaide.edu.au, Telephone: +61 88 303 6296

A. Allison: E-mail: aallison@eleceng.adelaide.edu.au, Telephone: +61 88303-5283

D. Abbott.: E-mail: dabbott@eleceng.adelaide.edu.au, Telephone: +61 88 303 5748

particular initial time, it is then possible to determine the state of that system at a later time in the future. In general one is interested in calculating some transition probability that is used to calculate an expectation value of some functional for a given stochastic process. For example for a European call option at the maturity time  $T$ , the quantity of interest would be  $\max\{S_T - X, 0\}$ , where  $X$  is the strike price and  $S_T$  is the price of an asset at the maturity time  $T$ .

So the general approach in calculating these transition probabilities using path integral techniques is to divide an interval between the initial and final time, into infinitesimal segments of a given length, then consider all possible paths starting at a given point in that space at an initial time and ending at a later point in that space at a final time. Each path is then approximated by straight line segments in each infinitesimal time interval and is weighted by some exponential factor that is directly related to the action. The action is the integral over some time interval of the Lagrangian. This is summed over all possible values of the parameter space at intermediate times and finally normalized with a factor that is proportional to the number of degrees of freedom of the system. Then we take the limit of the interval length to zero and the number of intervals to infinity while keeping the difference between the final and initial time fixed.

## 2. CONCEPTS AND THE BLACK & SCHOLES FORMULA

### 2.1. Some Concepts

In finance one usually deals with a special class of financial contracts called derivatives. A derivative is a financial product whose price depends upon the price of another financial product. These derivatives include, for example, **forward contracts, futures, options and swaps**. Here we are only going to focus on the first three of these.

A **forward contract** is when one of the parties agrees to buy for a given amount an asset at a specified price (called the forward price or the delivery price  $K$ ) on a specified future date (the delivery date  $T$ ). The other party agrees to sell the specified amount of the asset at the delivery price on the delivery date.

**Futures** are a forward contract traded on by exchange. The exchange is done through an exchange institution or a delivery house.

An **option** is a financial contract that gives the holder the right to exercise a given action (usually buying or selling) on an underlying asset of time  $T$  and at a price  $K$ . The price  $K$  is called the strike price and  $T$  is called the expiration date, the exercise date or the date of maturity. There are two types of options, namely there is the **Call option**, which gives the holder the right to buy the underlying asset by a certain date for a certain price. Oppositely we have a **Put option** that is the same as the **call option** but instead of buying it is when selling.

There are a few different sort of options and each of them carry a different name:

- **American options:** can be exercised at any time up to the expiration date.
- **European option:** can only be exercised on the expiration date itself \*
- **Exotic or Path dependent options:** these options have values which depend on the history of an asset price not just its value on exercise. An example would be an option to purchase an asset for the arithmetic average value of that asset over the month before expiring.
  - **Barrier option:** the option can either come into existence or become worthless if the underlying asset reaches some prescribed value before expiring.
  - **Asian option:** the price depends on some form of average.
  - **Lookback options:** the price depends on asset price maximum or minimum.

**Swaps** involve a private agreement between two parties to exchange cash flows at a certain times in the future according to some prearranged formula.

---

\*Note that the terms “American” and “European” do not refer to the location of the option or the exchange. Some options trading on North American exchanges are European.

## 2.2. Option pricing in idealized markets

The idea is to find the rational and fair price  $C(Y, t)$  of the option under consideration. Since the price  $Y$  at each time step  $t$  is a random variable, the value of the option at a time  $t$  is a function of a random variable.

In 1973 Black and Scholes<sup>15,16</sup> proposed the first reliable solution of the option-pricing problem. In their solution they assumed that the stock price  $Y(t)$  can be described as an Ito process, namely a process defined by the stochastic differential equation  $dY = a(Y, t)dt + b(Y, t)dW$ . In particular they assume that a stock price follows a geometric Brownian motion

$$dY = \mu Y dt + \sigma Y dW \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu$  is the expected return per unit time,  $\sigma^2$  the variance per unit time, and  $W$  is a Wiener process. That is  $a = \mu Y$  and  $b = \sigma Y$ . This assumption implies that the changes in the logarithm of price are Gaussian distributed.

In that case, any function of  $Y$  must be a solution of the partial differential equation obtained from a special case of Ito's lemma, Eq. (66), valid for a geometric Brownian motion<sup>17</sup> (see Appendix A),

$$dC = \left[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \mu Y + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial Y^2} \sigma^2 Y^2 \right] dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \sigma Y dW. \quad (2)$$

If we consider the portfolio of the holder is made up of number of shares  $\Delta_h$  who is selling one derivative of the stock at time  $t$ , where in the limit when  $t$  becomes infinitesimal,  $\Delta_h = \partial C / \partial Y$ . The value of the portfolio is then

$$\phi = \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} Y - C, \quad (3)$$

which implies that the change in the value of the portfolio  $\phi$  over a time interval  $\Delta t$  is

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \Delta Y - \Delta C. \quad (4)$$

Using Ito's lemma, we have

$$\Delta C = \left[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \mu Y + \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial Y^2} \sigma^2 Y^2 \right] \Delta t + \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \sigma Y \Delta W. \quad (5)$$

From Eq. (1), we have

$$\Delta Y = \mu Y \Delta t + \sigma Y \Delta W \quad (6)$$

Hence the change in  $\phi$  may be rewritten and simplified to

$$\Delta \phi = - \left[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial Y^2} \sigma^2 Y^2 \right] \Delta t. \quad (7)$$

The Black and Scholes assumption that a stock price follows a geometric Brownian motion turns out to be crucial in deriving the rational price of an option. In fact without this assumption,  $\Delta \phi$  could not be simplified as it is in Eq. (7). The second key assumption concerns the absence of arbitrage, which means that the change in the value of the portfolio  $\Delta \phi$  must equal the gain obtained by investing the same amount of money in a riskless security that provides a return per unit of time  $r$ . Under the assumption that  $r$  is constant,

$$\Delta \phi = r \phi \Delta t. \quad (8)$$

By equating Eqs. (7) and (8) we obtain

$$rC = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial Y^2} \sigma^2 Y^2 + rY \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y}. \quad (9)$$

Eq. (9) is called the Black-Scholes partial differential equation. To obtain Eq. (9), no assumption about the specific kind of option has been made. This partial differential equation is valid for both call and put options. The appropriate  $C(Y, t)$  for the chosen type of option will be obtained by selecting the appropriate boundary conditions, for example for a call option,

$$C = \max\{Y - K, 0\} \quad \text{when} \quad t = T. \quad (10)$$

### 2.3. The Black–Scholes formula

Black and Scholes<sup>15</sup> solved the partial differential equation, Eq. (9) (known as the Black–Scholes partial differential equation) by making the following substitution

$$C(Y, t) = \exp[r(t - T)] y(x, t') \quad (11)$$

where in this case the variable  $x$  is defined as

$$x \equiv \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{Y}{K} \right) - \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (t - T) \right] \quad (12)$$

and the variables  $t'$  defined as

$$t' \equiv -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (t - T). \quad (13)$$

With this substitution, the Black–Scholes partial differential equation reduces to a partial differential equation describing the heat-transfer in physical systems, namely

$$\frac{\partial y(x, t')}{\partial t'} = \frac{\partial^2 y(x, t')}{\partial x^2}, \quad (14)$$

which can be solved analytically. Using Eq. 12 and 13, we find the solution takes the form of

$$C(Y, t) = Y N(d_1) - K \exp[r(t - T)] N(d_2), \quad (15)$$

where  $N(x)$  is the cumulative density function for a Gaussian variable with zero mean and unit standard deviation,

$$d_1 \equiv \frac{\ln(Y/K) + (r + \sigma^2/2)(T - t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T - t}} \quad (16)$$

and

$$d_2 \equiv d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{T - t}. \quad (17)$$

The Black–Scholes model provides two important financial instruments. The first one is that it brings us an analytical solution for the rational price of a European option, secondly a trading strategy for building up a riskless portfolio. However the solution of the equation is only valid under the following assumptions:

1. the stock price follows Ito's stochastic process (see Appendix A for more details);
2. security trading is continuous;
3. there are no arbitrage opportunities;
4. selling of securities is possible at any time;
5. there are no transaction costs;
6. the market interest rate  $r$  is constant; and
7. there are no dividends between  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$ .

Hence the Black–Scholes model is a good framework for understanding and modeling an ideal financial market, and provides only an approximate description of real financial markets.

## 2.4. The Stratonovich convention

In general a stochastic differential equation (SDE) driven by state-dependent white noise, like a geometric Brownian motion for example, is not coherent unless the multiplicative white noise term is well defined. There are two different interpretations that are well known, the Ito<sup>17</sup> and the Stratonovich.<sup>18</sup> The Ito formulation is commonly preferred by mathematician while the Stratonovich interpretation mostly favored amongst physicists.

The interpretation of a stochastic differential equation arises when dealing with multiplicative stochastic differential equation, also called multiplicative Langevin equation

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = f(Y) + g(Y)\epsilon(t) \quad (18)$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are given functions and  $\epsilon(t)$  is the Gaussian white noise, that is, a Gaussian and stationary random process with zero mean and delta correlated. Alternatively Eq. (18) may be written in terms of the Wiener process  $W(t)$ , as in Eq. (1), where  $dW(t) = \epsilon(t)dt$ . When  $g$  depends on  $Y$ , Eq. (18) and Eq. (1) have no meaning unless an interpretation of the multiplicative term  $g(Y)\epsilon(t)$  is provided, this interpretation must be given because, due to the extreme randomness of white noise.

The value that  $Y$  should take is not exactly obvious even during an infinitesimal timestep  $dt$ . As we saw in Section 2.2, for an Ito process, the value for  $Y$  is defined as  $Y \equiv Y(t)$ . Alternatively the value for  $Y$  may be defined at the middle of the time step

$$Y \equiv Y\left(t + \frac{dt}{2}\right) = Y(t) + \frac{1}{2}dY(t). \quad (19)$$

This is known as the Stratonovich convention.

The interpretation chosen will mainly make a difference when one is considering the product of two random processes, this is because the resulting differential will adopt a different expression as a result. The differential of the product of two random processes is defined as

$$d(XY) = [(X + dX)(Y + dY)] - XY. \quad (20)$$

This may be written

$$d(XY) = XdX + YdY + dXdY \quad (21)$$

or equivalently one may write the product of differential as

$$d(XY) = \left(X + \frac{dX}{2}\right)dY + \left(Y + \frac{dY}{2}\right)dX. \quad (22)$$

We say that the differential of a product reads in the Stratonovich interpretation when

$$d(XY) = X_S dY + Y_S dX, \quad (23)$$

where  $Y_S$  and  $X_S$  are defined by Eq. (19).

In contrast we say that the differential of a product follows the Ito interpretation when

$$d(XY) = X_I dY + Y_I dX + dXdY, \quad (24)$$

where  $X_I(t) = X(t)$  and similarly for  $Y(t)$ . Equations (22) and (24) can be generalized as the product of two functions,  $\omega(Y)$  and  $\xi(Y)$  for example. In this case we may rewrite, in the Stratonovich interpretation, Eq. (23) as

$$d(\omega\xi) = \omega(Y_S)d\xi(Y) + \xi(Y_S)d\omega(Y), \quad (25)$$

with  $d\omega = \omega(Y + dY) - \omega(Y)$ , similarly for  $d\xi(Y)$ . One could also define a similar expression in terms of  $\omega(Y)$  and  $\xi(Y)$  for the Ito interpretation, namely

$$d(\omega\xi) = \omega(Y)d\xi(Y) + \xi(Y)d\omega(Y) + d\omega(Y)d\xi(Y). \quad (26)$$

If we now go back to the Langevin equation, Eq. (18), we can see what are the consequences of the above result. In an Ito interpretation the value of  $Y$ , hence the value of  $g(Y)$ , describes a random process. That means that we have  $\langle g(Y)\epsilon(t) \rangle = 0$ , in other words  $g(Y)$  is independent of  $\epsilon(t)$ . On the other hand, it can be proved that within the Stratonovich framework the average of the multiplicative term reads  $(g(Y)g'(Y)/2)$ , see Refs<sup>19, 20</sup> for more details.

The important question is, how does one relate the SDE from one framework to the other. In the Stratonovich framework an SDE defined as in Eq. (1) can be written as

$$dY = f^{(S)}(Y_S)dt + g^{(S)}(Y_S)dW(t). \quad (27)$$

where  $Y_S$  is defined in Eq. (19). In the Ito convention we have

$$dY = f^{(I)}(Y_I)dt + g^{(I)}(Y_I)dW(t). \quad (28)$$

The functions  $f^{(S)}$  and  $f^{(I)}$  are different functions and may be related in the following<sup>20</sup>

$$f^{(I)}(Y) = f^{(S)}(Y) - \frac{1}{2}g^{(S)}(Y)\frac{\partial g^{(S)}(Y)}{\partial Y} \quad (29)$$

while the relation between the multiplicative functions  $g^{(S)}$  and  $g^{(I)}$  is defined as

$$g^{(S)}(Y) = g^{(I)}(Y) \quad (30)$$

Another crucial difference between the Ito and Stratonovich interpretation appears to be when a change of variables is made on the original equation. It can be proved that when the Stratonovich convention is used the standard rules of calculus hold as opposed to the Ito convention where new rules start to appear.

Let  $h(Y, t)$  be an arbitrary function of  $Y$  and  $t$ . In the Ito sense, the differential of  $h(Y, t)$  reads<sup>20</sup>

$$dh(Y, t) = \frac{\partial h(Y, t)}{\partial Y}dY + \left[ \frac{\partial h(Y, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}g^2(Y, t)\frac{\partial^2 h(Y, t)}{\partial Y^2} \right] dt \quad (31)$$

whereas in the Stratonovich sense, we have

$$dh(Y, t) = \frac{\partial h(Y_S, t)}{\partial Y_S}dY_S + \frac{\partial h(Y_S, t)}{\partial t}dt \quad (32)$$

Inserting Eq. (28) into Eq. (31) one recovers Ito's lemma defined in Appendix A, that is extensively used in mathematical finance books.

### 3. THE PATH INTEGRAL APPROACH: AN INTRODUCTION

The path integral method is an integral formulation of the dynamics of a stochastic process. The method becomes useful when one wants to calculate the transition probabilities, which are associated to a given stochastic process. In this framework finite time transition probability can be written as a convolution of short time transition probabilities<sup>11, 13, 21, 22</sup>

Once an explicit method is written down to calculate the transitions probabilities it is possible to calculate the expectation values of the quantities of financial interest, given by the form

$$E[\theta_i, Y_{i-1}] = \int dz_i p(z_i|z_{i-1})\theta_i(e^{z_i}), \quad (33)$$

where  $z = \ln Y$ ,  $p(z_i|z_{i-1})$  is the transition probability,  $\theta_i$  is an option at a given time slice  $t_i$  and  $Y_i$  is the price of an asset at a given time slice  $t_i$ . In this case  $E[\theta_i, Y_{i-1}]$  is the conditional expectation value of some functional  $\theta_i$  of the stochastic process.

The probability distribution function related to SDE verifies the so called Chapman–Kolmogorov equation,<sup>23</sup> i.e.,

$$p(z''|z') = \int dz p(z''|z)p(z|z'), \quad (34)$$

which can be interpreted as the probability density of a transition from the value  $z'$  at time  $t'$  to the value  $z''$  at time  $t''$  summed over all possible intermediate values  $z$  of the probability of separate and consequent transitions  $z' \rightarrow z$  and  $z \rightarrow z''$ . If we now consider a finite time interval  $[t', t'']$  and we apply a time slicing, by considering  $n + 1$  subintervals of length  $\Delta t \equiv (t'' - t')/n + 1$  then Eq. (34) becomes

$$p(z''|z') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_n p(z''|z_n)p(z_n|z_{n-1}) \cdots p(z_1|z'). \quad (35)$$

Now based on some realistic assumptions one needs to write down an expression for the transition probabilities  $p(z_i|z_{i-1})$  and then perform the calculation of the path integrals.

### 3.1. Transition probabilities with a Gaussian

In general we do not have an explicit expression for the transition probabilities for small time steps, However using a Gaussian transition probability<sup>13, 21, 22</sup>

$$p(z'|z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2\Delta t}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(z' - z)^2}{2\sigma^2\Delta t} \right\}, \quad (36)$$

where  $\Delta t = t' - t$ . A general expression of the transition probability for small time steps correct up to  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$  is given by<sup>21</sup>

$$p(z'|z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(z)\Delta t}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{[z' - z - A(z)\Delta t]^2}{2\sigma^2(z)\Delta t} \right\}, \quad (37)$$

Eq. (37) gives a prescription to write the solution of a Fokker–Planck equation in the form of a convolution product of short–time transition probability functions. Substituting Eq. (37) into Eq. (35) we obtain

$$p(z''|z') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(z)\Delta t}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{[z'_k - z_{k-1} - A(z)\Delta t]^2}{2\sigma^2(z)\Delta t} \right\} \quad (38)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2(z)\Delta t)^{n+1}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2(z)\Delta t} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} [z'_k - z_{k-1} - A(z)\Delta t]^2 \right\} \quad (39)$$

Now if we set

$$S_0(z_k; z_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(z)} \left[ \frac{(z_k - z_{k-1})}{\Delta t} - A \right]^2 \Delta t, \quad (40)$$

note that the term  $(z_k - z_{k-1})/\Delta t$  in Eq. (40) can be interpreted as a mean velocity in the time interval  $\Delta t$  and  $1/\sigma^2$  as a mass, then Eq. (38) may be written as

$$p(z''|z') = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz_1 \cdots dz_n \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(z)\Delta t}} \exp \{ -S_0(z_k; z_{k-1}) \}. \quad (41)$$

Then a Lagrangian structure appears. Hence in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Eq. (41) may be formally written as the path integral

$$p(z''|z') = \int_{\tilde{z}(t)=z}^{\tilde{z}(t')=z'} \mathcal{D}[\sigma^{-1}\tilde{z}] \exp \left\{ - \int_t^{t'} L_0[\tilde{z}(\tau); \dot{\tilde{z}}(\tau); \tau] d\tau \right\}. \quad (42)$$

The functional measure,  $\mathcal{D}[\sigma^{-1}\tilde{z}]$ , means summations on all possible paths starting from  $z'$  and arriving at  $z$ . The integral to the exponent must be interpreted as the limit of the discrete summation for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and the function  $L_0[\tilde{z}(\tau); \dot{\tilde{z}}(\tau); \tau]$  is a Lagrangian function defined by

$$L_0[\tilde{z}(\tau); \dot{\tilde{z}}(\tau); \tau] = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\dot{\tilde{z}} - A(z, \tau)]^2. \quad (43)$$

Since in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  only  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$  contribute to the integral, the formal expression in Eq. (42) gives the exact finite transition probability, moreover there is a complete equivalence between the differential stochastic equation, the Fokker-Planck equation and the path integral approach.<sup>21</sup>

This formalism is used to calculate the transition probabilities. Once these are evaluated the option price is computed as the conditional expectation value of a given functional of the stochastic process. For example, the price of an European call option will be given by

$$C = \exp[-r(t' - t)] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz' p(z', t'|z, t) \max[e^{z'} - X, 0], \quad (44)$$

with  $X$  being the strike price. While for an European put, it will be

$$P = \exp[-r(t' - t)] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz' p(z', t'|z, t) \max[X - e^{z'}, 0], \quad (45)$$

where  $r$  is the risk-free interest rates.

The method may also be applied to an american options and can be extended to cope with other financial derivatives (with path dependent features). This formalism can be extended to other models beyond the dynamics of geometric Brownian motion. In Ref.,<sup>13</sup> Montagna *Et. Al.* have used this formalism to compare their results with those available in the literature for European and American options in the Black-Scholes model and found to be in good agreement with the standard numerical procedures used in finance.

Other applications of path integrals may be found in previous works. Linetsky<sup>24</sup> who showed how path dependent option could be formally priced in a path integral framework. He considered several examples of one and two factor path dependent options. Baaquie<sup>25</sup> has shown how path integrals methods can be used to price vanilla options with stochastic volatility. In subsequent publication the same author has also shown how the Heath-Jarrow-Morton (HJM) formulation of treasury bonds in terms of forward rates can be reformulated as a problem in path integration.<sup>26</sup> Later on Otto<sup>27</sup> demonstrated how to use path integration to price bonds and bond options under general short rate models.

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE WITH MAXIMAL ENTROPY

In this section we describe a small model<sup>28</sup> that describes the evolution of money distribution from a Gaussian to a non-Gaussian distribution. These types of distribution are sometimes referred as a fat tail distribution.

In a series of lectures,<sup>29</sup> Carter presented a simple agent based economic model. In this model every agent randomly selects someone else among a collection of agents and gives to the target one dollar until he runs out of money. Carter and Shapiro showed, using maximal entropy, that no matter how the money is initially distributed ultimately it obeys a Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution.

The model is constructed on a series of events or more precisely a series of transactions. The amount given out by every agent at a transaction is  $\Delta x$  in some scaled units. It is possible that one agent could be visited by



many agents. Supposing that one adopts the following notation:  $N$  is the total number of agents,  $M$  is the total amount of money involved and  $n_x(t)$  is the expected number of agents with money  $x$  and time  $t$ .

In this case we have the following events for a particular time  $t$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{n_x} &= .NOT.\{x \text{ agent visited only by one non-zero agent}\} \\
 E_{n_{x+\Delta x}} &= \{(x + \Delta x) \text{ agent visited only by zero non-zero agent}\} \\
 E_{n_{x-\Delta x}} &= \{(x - \Delta x) \text{ agent visited only by two non-zero agent}\} \\
 E_{n_{x-2\Delta x}} &= \{(x - 2\Delta x) \text{ agent visited only by three non-zero agent}\} \\
 &\dots \\
 E_{n_{\Delta x}} &= \{\Delta x \text{ agent visited only by } \frac{x}{\Delta x} \text{ non-zero agent}\} \\
 E_{n_0} &= \{\text{zero agent visited only by } \frac{x}{\Delta x} \text{ non-zero agent}\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The increase in expected number of  $x$ -agents are then

$$n_x(t + \Delta t) - n_x(t) = -n_x P(E_{n_x}) + n_{x+\Delta x} P(E_{n_{x+\Delta x}}) + \dots + n_{\Delta x} P(E_{n_{\Delta x}}) + n_0 P(E_{n_0}) \tag{47}$$

where  $P_k = P(\text{an agent visited by } k \text{ non-zero agent})$  is the probability associated with the respective events. X.S. Liang and T. Carter<sup>29</sup> have chosen to use a binomial distribution

$$P_k = \begin{cases} C_{N-n_0-1}^k q^k (1-q)^{N-n_0-1-k} & \text{for } k \leq N - n_0 - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

where  $q = 1/(N - 1)$ . Then the probabilities in Eq. (47) can be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(E_{n_x}) &= 1 - P_1, \\
 P(E_{n_{x+\Delta x}}) &= P_0, \\
 P(E_{n_{x-\Delta x}}) &= P_2, \\
 P(E_{n_{x-2\Delta x}}) &= P_3, \\
 &\dots \\
 P(E_{n_{\Delta x}}) &= P_{x/\Delta x}, \\
 P(E_{n_0}) &= P_{x/\Delta x}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

It implies that Eq. (47) may be rewritten as

$$n_x(t + \Delta t) - n_x(t) = -n_x + \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{x/\Delta x} n_{x+(1-k)\Delta x} P_k \right] + n_0 P_{x/\Delta x}. \tag{50}$$

Normalizing  $n_x$  with the total number of agents  $N$ , they obtain the probability assigned to money  $x$ . The coefficients of each probability are the probability density functions (pdf). Hence, the equation governing the evolution of the pdf of money  $x$  at time  $t$  is defined as

$$f(x, t + \Delta t) = \sum_{k=0}^{x/\Delta x} f(x + (1-k)\Delta x, t) P_k + f(0, t) P_{x/\Delta x}, \quad \text{given that } x \in [0, M]. \tag{51}$$

In this formulation the total number of agents  $N$  and the total number money  $M$  are preserved. In terms of  $f$ , the following two conservation laws as expressed as

$$\int_0^M f(x, t) dx = 1 \quad \text{conservation of } N, \tag{52}$$

$$\int_0^M x f(x, t) dx = \frac{M}{N} \quad \text{conservation of } M. \tag{53}$$

The probability space of Eq. (51) is not the whole real line. Therefore the boundary conditions need to be set for  $x = 0$  and  $x = M$ . If we follow the arguments in Ref.,<sup>29</sup> the left boundary condition is set to

$$f(0, t + \Delta t) = [f(0, t) + f(\delta x, t)] \exp(-1 + f(0, t)\Delta x), \quad (54)$$

and at the right boundary  $x = M$ ,  $f$  can only have two choices:  $1/N\Delta x$  and 0. The former is unstable as one transaction will revoke the membership of the  $M$ -agent. Hence it is set as  $f(M, t) = 0$ .

In the asymptotic region Eq. (51) may be simplified to an advection–diffusion equation. In general the system takes a long time to reach equilibrium, or its steady distribution. If by any chance, the money distribution is away from the origin, the advection halts and the solution of  $f$  adopts a form of Gaussian. Gaussian dominates the pdf evolution before it hits the left boundary. The evolution of the system starts as a Gaussian distribution and when the tail of the Gaussian hits the left boundary at  $x = 0$ , then the whole structure starts to drift leftwards and gives itself away to other structures.

In this model it can be shown that the steady state or equilibrium solution is the same as the Boltzmann solution obtained from the maximal entropy principle.<sup>29</sup> This condition does not hold near the origin. To learn more about the steady state probability distribution near the origin one need to use the boundary conditions. It is found that the numbers of zero agents and  $\Delta x$  agent take a finite value and do not follow the Boltzmann distribution in that region.

A numerical simulation of Eq. (51), using Monte Carlo, shows that the evolution of the system first resembles to a Gaussian like shape centered at a fixed value. This states last for a considerable amount of time, even after it hits the left boundary. The system then evolves towards an exponential similar to a Boltzmann distribution (or an exponential decay).

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this short review we introduced the idea of using path integrals to further explore the fluctuations in financial markets. We also gave a brief description of the Black–Scholes equation for idealized markets. This review is intended to be an introduction to econoengineering and will be used in our future work.

## APPENDIX A. ITO'S LEMMA

The price of a stock option is a function of the underlying stock's price  $Y(t)$  and time  $t$ . More generally, we can say that the price of any derivative security is a function  $G$ , of the stochastic variables underlying the derivative security and time. In 1951 a mathematician called Ito, discovered an important result, known as Ito's Lemma.<sup>17</sup>

If we consider a continuous and differentiable function  $G$  of a variable  $x$ , i.e.,  $G(x)$ , and if  $\Delta x$  is a small change in  $x$  which leads to  $\Delta G$ , a small change in  $G$ , then it is well known that,

$$\Delta G = \frac{dG}{dx} \Delta x + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2) \quad (55)$$

i.e.,  $\Delta G$  is approximately equal to the rate of change of  $G$  with respect to the variable  $x$  times  $\Delta x$ . More precisely a Taylor expansion of  $\Delta G$  will tell us that

$$\Delta G = \frac{dG}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 G}{dx^2} \Delta x^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{d^3 G}{dx^3} \Delta x^3 \dots \quad (56)$$

In the case  $G$  is a continuous differentiable function of two variables,  $G(x, y)$ ,

$$\Delta G(x, y) = \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial y} \Delta y + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2, \Delta y^2) \quad (57)$$

which means that the Taylor expansion of  $\Delta G$  is then

$$\Delta G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} \Delta x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x \partial y} \Delta x \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y^2} \Delta y^2 \dots \quad (58)$$

In the limit of  $\Delta x, \Delta y \rightarrow 0$ , Eq. (58) becomes

$$dG = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} dy. \quad (59)$$

A derivative security is a function of a variable that follows a stochastic process. Supposing that a variable  $x$  follows the general Ito process<sup>†</sup>

$$dx = a(x, t) dt + b(x, t) dW, \quad (60)$$

and that  $G$  is also a function of  $x$  and  $t$ . Hence from Eq. (58),

$$\Delta G = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} \Delta x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x \partial t} \Delta x \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial t^2} \Delta t^2 \dots \quad (61)$$

A Wiener process is a particular type of Markov stochastic process. The behavior of a variable  $W$  which follows a Wiener process can be understood by considering the changes in its value in small intervals of time  $t$ . If we consider a small interval of time of length  $\Delta t$  and if we define  $\Delta W$  as the change in  $W$  during  $\Delta t$ , then there are two basic properties of  $\Delta W$ :

**Property 1.**  $\Delta W$  is related to  $\Delta t$  by the equation  $\Delta W = \epsilon \sqrt{\Delta t}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a random sample from a standardized normal distribution (mean of  $\Delta W = 0$ , standard deviation of  $\Delta W = \sqrt{\Delta t}$ , and the variance of  $\Delta W = \Delta t$ ).

**Property 2.** The values of  $\Delta W$  for any two different short intervals of time  $\Delta t$  are independent (This implies that  $W$  follows a Markov process).

Now because an Ito process is a generalized version of the Wiener process, **properties 1.** and **2.** also holds for an Ito process. Hence a discretized version of Eq. (60) takes the form

$$\Delta x = a(x, t) \Delta t + b(x, t) \epsilon \sqrt{\Delta t}, \quad (62)$$

which implies that

$$\Delta x = \epsilon^2 b^2(x, t) \Delta t + \text{higher order terms in } \Delta t. \quad (63)$$

This shows that the term involving  $\Delta x^2$  in Eq. (61) has components that is of order  $\Delta t$  and cannot be ignored, unlike with Eq. (58) when the limit  $\Delta x, \Delta y \rightarrow 0$  was taken to obtain Eq. (59).

The variance of a standardized normal distribution is 1, this means that

$$E(\epsilon^2) - [E(\epsilon)]^2 = 1 \quad (64)$$

where  $E$  is the expectation value. Since  $E(\epsilon) = 0$ , it follows that  $E(\epsilon^2) = 1$ . The expected value of  $\epsilon^2 \Delta t$  is therefore  $\Delta t$ . It can be shown that the variance of  $\epsilon^2 \Delta t$  is of the order of  $\Delta t$ , and that as a results of this,  $\epsilon^2 \Delta t$  becomes non-stochastic and equals to its expected value of  $\Delta t$  as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ . Hence taking the limit as  $\Delta x, \Delta t \rightarrow 0$  in Eq. (61) together with Eq. (63) we therefore obtain

$$dG = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} b^2 dt. \quad (65)$$

This is known as the Ito Lemma. Now substituting for  $dx$  from Eq. (60), Eq. (65) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} dG &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} [a(x, t) dt + b(x, t) dW] + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} b^2 dt \\ dG &= \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} a(x, t) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} b^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} b(x, t) dW. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

<sup>†</sup> A Ito process is a generalized Wiener process  $dx = a dt + b dW$  where the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are functions of the underlying variable  $x$  and time  $t$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. J. Fredrick Michael, "Financial market dynamics," *Physica A* **320**, pp. 525–534, 2003.
2. J. H. A. Krawiecki, "Stochastic resonance as a model for financial market crashes and bubbles," *Physica A* **317**, pp. 597–608, 2003.
3. F. P. D. Faller, "A master equation approach to option pricing," *Physica A* **319**, pp. 519–534, 2003.
4. E. E. Haven, "A discussion on embedding the black and scholes option pricing model in a quantum physics setting," *Physica A* **304**, pp. 507–524, 2002.
5. A. A. Stanislavsky, "Black–scholes model under subordination," *Physica A* **318**, pp. 469–474, 2003.
6. N. Wiener *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **7**, p. 253, 1952.
7. N. Wiener *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **7**, p. 294, 1952.
8. M. Kac *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **72**, p. 52, 1966.
9. R. P. Feynman, "Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, p. 367, 1948.
10. C. J. Coleman, "Huygen's principle applied to radio wave propagation," *Rad. Sci.* **37 NO. 6**, p. 1105, 2002.
11. L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and applications of path integrals*, Wiley, New York, 1981.
12. A. Matacz, "Path dependent option pricing: the path integral partial averaging method," available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov, cond-mat/0005319>.
13. G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, and N. Moreni, "A path integral way to option pricing," *Physica A* **310**, pp. 450–466, 2002.
14. L. Ingber, "High-resolution path–integral development of financial options," *Physica A* **283**, pp. 529–558, 2000.
15. F. Black and M. Scholes, "Equations of state calculations by fast computing machine," *J. Pol. Econ.* **81**, p. 637, 1973.
16. R. C. Merton, "Theory of rational option pricing," *Bell J. Econ. Management Sci* **4**, pp. 141–183, 1973.
17. K. Ito, "On stochastic differential equations," *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4**, pp. 1–51, 1951.
18. R. L. Stratonovich *SIAM J. Control* **4**, pp. 362–371, 1966.
19. M. M. J. Perello, J.M. Porra and J. Masoliver, "Black-scholes option pricing within ito and stratonovich conventions," *Physica A* **278**, pp. 260–274, 2000.
20. C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of stochastic methods*, Springer, New York, 1983.
21. M. Rosa-Clot and S. Taddei, "A path integral approach to derivative security pricing: I. formalism and analytical results," to be published in *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov, cond-mat/9901277>.
22. M. Rosa-Clot and S. Taddei, "A path integral approach to derivative security pricing: Ii. numerical methods," submitted to *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov, cond-mat/9901279>.
23. W. Paul and J. Baschnagel, *Stochastic processes : from physics to finance*, Springer, New York, 2000.
24. V. Linetsky, "The path integral approach to financial modeling and option pricing," *Computational Economics* **11**, pp. 129–163, 1998.
25. B. Baaquie, "A path integral approach to option pricing with stochastic volatility: some exact results," *J. Phys. I France* **7**, pp. 1733–1753, 1997.
26. B. Baaquie, "Quantum field theory of treasury bonds," available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov, cond-mat/9809199>, 1998.
27. M. Otto, "Using path integrals to price interest rate derivatives," available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov, cond-mat/9812318>, 1999.
28. X. S. Liang and T. Carter, "Evolution of money distribution in a simple economic model," unpublished preprints.
29. T. Carter and Shapiro, "An introduction to information theory and entropy," *CSSS course handouts, Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, New Mexico*, 2003.